

On Mixing Rank One Infinite Transformations

V.V. Ryzhikov*

June 24, 2011

D.Ornstein has defined rank one transformations; he has shown that they could be mixing and that they then only commuted with their powers [9]. J.L. King has later proved that mixing rank one transformations had the much stronger property of being MSJ (see [7]). Examples of infinite transformations with MSJ were obtained by J. Aaronson and M. Nadkarni in [1]. J.-P. Thouvenot and the author showed via different approaches that the centralizer of a mixing rank-one *infinite* measure preserving transformation was trivial. Thouvenot used Ornstein's method. In this note the author presents his joining proof based on the technique of [12]. We also consider constructions with algebraic spacers as well as a class of "Sidon constructions" to produce new examples of mixing rank one transformations. In connection with Gordin's question on the existence of homoclinic ergodic actions for a zero entropy system [5],[8] we also discuss Poisson suspensions of some modifications of Sidon rank one constructions.

1 Mixing rank one constructions and some applications.

We recall the notion of rank one transformation. Let us consider an infinite (or finite) Lebesgue space (X, μ) . An automorphism (a measure-preserving invertible transformation) $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be of *rank one*, if there is a sequence ξ_j of measurable partitions of X in the form

$$\xi_j = \{E_j, TE_j, T^2E_j, \dots, T^{h_j}E_j, \tilde{E}_j\}.$$

*This work is partially supported by the grant NSh 8508.2010.1.

such that ξ_j converges to the partition onto points. The collection

$$E_j, TE_j, T^2E_j, \dots, T^{h_j}E_j$$

is called Rokhlin's tower. We put

$$U_j = E_j \sqcup TE_j \sqcup T^2E_j \sqcup \dots \sqcup T^{h_j}E_j$$

(\tilde{E}_j is the set $X \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{h_j} T^i E_j$).

Mixing. An infinite transformation T is called mixing if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and sets A, B of finite measures there is N such that for all $|k| > N$

$$\mu(T^k A \cap B) < \varepsilon.$$

To construct examples of mixing rank one infinite transformations one can use the methods by D. Ornstein or T. Adams (see [2],[3]). Below we consider more simple examples.

Rank one construction is determined by h_1 and a sequence r_j of cuttings and a sequence \bar{s}_j of spacers

$$\bar{s}_j = (s_j(1), s_j(2), \dots, s_j(r_j - 1), s_j(r_j)).$$

We recall its definition. Let our T on the step j be associated with a collection of disjoint sets (intervals)

$$E_j, TE_j, T^2E_j, \dots, T^{h_j}E_j.$$

We cut E_j into r_j sets (subintervals) of the same measure

$$E_j = E_j^1 \sqcup E_j^2 \sqcup E_j^3 \sqcup \dots \sqcup E_j^{r_j},$$

then for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, r_j$ we consider columns

$$E_j^i, TE_j^i, T^2E_j^i, \dots, T^{h_j}E_j^i.$$

Adding $s_j(i)$ spacers we obtain

$$E_j^i, TE_j^i, T^2E_j^i, \dots, T^{h_j}E_j^i, T^{h_j+1}E_j^i, T^{h_j+2}E_j^i, \dots, T^{h_j+s_j(i)}E_j^i$$

(the above intervals are disjoint). For all $i < r_j$ we set

$$TT^{h_j+s_j(i)}E_j^i = E_j^{i+1}.$$

Now we obtain a tower

$$E_{j+1}, TE_{j+1}T^2E_{j+1}, \dots, T^{h_{j+1}}E_{j+1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_{j+1} &= E_j^1 \\ T^{h_{j+1}}E_{j+1} &= T^{h_j+s_j(r_j)}E_j^{r_j} \\ h_{j+1} + 1 &= (h_j + 1)r_j + \sum_{i=1}^{r_j} s_j(i). \end{aligned}$$

So we have described a general rank one construction.

Algebraic spacers (a.s.) constructions. Let r_j be prime, $r_j \rightarrow \infty$. We fix and consider generators q_j in the multiplicative groups (associated with the sets $\{1, 2, \dots, r_j - 1\}$) of the fields \mathbf{Z}_{r_j} . For some sequence $\{H_j\}$, $H_j \geq r_j$, we define a spacer sequence

$$s_j(i) = H_j + \{q_j^i\} - \{q_j^{i+1}\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r_j - 1,$$

where H_j provides the infinity of our measure space, $s(r_j)$ is arbitrary, $\{q^i\}$ denotes the number from $\{1, 2, \dots, r_j - 1\}$ that corresponds to q^i . This rank one a.s. construction will be mixing. To prove the mixing we must check and apply two properties of our spacers: for $n < r_j$ we have

- (1) $-r_j \leq S_j(i, n) := \sum_{k=1}^n s_j(i+k) - nH_j \leq r_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r_j - n - 1$ (Ornstein's property);
- (2) for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r_j - n - 1\}$ all values $S_j(i, n)$ are different (a nice injectivity property).

Indeed, $S_j(i, n) = S_j(m, n)$ implies $q^i - q^{i+n} = q^m - q^{m+n}$, $q^i = q^m$, $i = m$.

For any sequence $n_j < (1 - \varepsilon)r_j$ ($\varepsilon > 0$ is fixed) and any positive $f \in L_2$ we get

$$\|P(j, n_j)f\|_{L_2} \rightarrow 0, \quad j \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$P(j, n_j) := \frac{1}{r_j - n_j - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{r_j - n_j - 1} T^{S_j(i, n_j)}.$$

Indeed, from (1) and (2) we get

$$\|P(j, n_j)f\|_{L_2} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon r_j} \left\| \sum_{s=-r_j}^{r_j} T^s f \right\|_{L_2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let

$$h_j \leq m_j = n_j(H_j + h_j) + t_j < h_{j+1}, \quad 0 \leq t_j \leq H_j + h_j,$$

then standard rank one method of estimations of mixing via averaging (see [2],[3]) gives

$$\langle T^{m_j} \chi_A, \chi_B \rangle \leq (\|P(j, n_j) \chi_A\| + \|P(j, n_j + 1) \chi_A\| + \|P(j + 1, 1) \chi_A\| + \varepsilon) \|\chi_B\|$$

for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and all large j . Thus, for $m \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\langle T^m \chi_A, \chi_B \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

REMARK. In fact we can use a.s. constructions (as H_j and $s_j(r_j)$ are sufficiently small) for examples of mixing rank one transformations of a Probability space as well. We follow Ornstein's method but stochastic spacers are replaced now by algebraic ones.

Below we consider mixing constructions only for infinite measure spaces but with an obvious proof of the mixing property.

Sidon constructions. Let $r_j \rightarrow \infty$ and for each step j

$$h_j << s_j(1) << s_j(2) << \dots << s_j(r_j - 1) << s_j(r_j) \quad (*).$$

Then for fixed ξ_{j_0} -measurable $A, B \in U_{j_0}$ we have

$$\mu(A \cap T^m B) \leq \mu(A)/r_j$$

as $m \in [h_j, h_{j+1}], j > j_0$. Thus, for any A, B of finite measure we get

$$\mu(A \cap T^m B) \rightarrow 0.$$

A reader can check that our construction has "Sidon property": only one column in U_j may contain an intersection $U_j \cap T^m U_j$ as $h_{j+1} > m > h_j$. (We recall that Sidon set is a subset S of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ such that $S \cap S + m$ ($m > 0$) may contain not more than one point. Its cardinality can be a bit grater than \sqrt{N} .) Any Sidon construction (not necessarily satisfied (*)) have to be mixing. There are Sidon constructions (with $r_j \gg h_j$ but with "minimal" spacers) with a rate of correlations

$$\mu(A \cap T^m A) \leq C \frac{\psi(m)}{\sqrt{m}},$$

where $\psi(m)$ satisfies the condition

$$\frac{\psi(h_{j+1})}{\sqrt{h_{j+1}}} \leq \frac{\psi(m)}{\sqrt{m}}, \quad m \in [h_j + 1, h_{j+1}],$$

and $\psi(m) \rightarrow \infty$ as slowly as we want (for example, $\psi(m) = \ln \ln(m)$).

Indeed, given h_j we choose $h_{j+1} \sim h_j r_j^2$ such that $\psi(h_{j+1}) \geq \sqrt{h_j}$, then for all $m \in [h_j + 1, h_{j+1}]$ we have

$$\mu(A \cap T^m A) \leq \mu(A)/r_j \leq C \frac{\sqrt{h_j}}{\sqrt{h_{j+1}}} = C \frac{\psi(h_{j+1})}{\sqrt{h_{j+1}}} \frac{\sqrt{h_j}}{\psi(h_{j+1})} \leq C \frac{\psi(m)}{\sqrt{m}}.$$

REMARK. In [10] A. Prikhod'ko proposed non-trivial constructions of automorphisms of a Probability space with simple spectrum and the correlation sequence

$$\langle T^m f, f \rangle = O(m^{-1/2+\varepsilon}).$$

We obtained simply the same result for some Sidon constructions due to a spacer freedom in the infinite measure case.

Adding to our Sidon constructions a special (vanishing non-mixing) parts as in [3] we provide simple spectrum for the operator $\exp(T)$ and

$$\mu(A \cap T^m B) \leq \varepsilon_j + \mu(A)/r_j,$$

where $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ very very slowly. Following this way we get again one of the results of [3]: there are mixing Poisson suspensions of simple spectrum.

Homoclinic groups. The above transformations T can possess ergodic homoclinic groups $H(T)$. Following M.Gordin (see [5],[8]) we recall that

$$H(T) = \{S \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu) : T^n S T^{-n} \rightarrow \text{Id}, n \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

We see that all S with $\mu(\text{supp}(S)) < \infty$ are in $H(T)$. This observation suggest us a construction of a dissipative transformation $S \in H(T)$. Indeed, for T we can build an almost transversal transformation S which is more and more close to the identity on j -spacers as $j \rightarrow \infty$. If we fix a finite measure set $A \subset U_j$, then $\mu(A \Delta T^n S T^{-n} A) \rightarrow 0$ since $T^n S T^{-n}$ will be close to the identity on A . It is not so hard to provide S to be dissipative. (for $n > h_j$ we have $\mu(A \Delta T^n S T^{-n} A) < 2\varepsilon_j + 2\mu(A)/r_j + \delta_j$, where ε_j as above, and some $\delta_j \rightarrow 0$ because our S is more and more close to the identity on j -spacers).

Thus we obtain the Poisson suspension T_* with simple spectrum possessing the homoclinic Bernoulli transformation S_* (this is somewhat in contrast with the natural situation in which Bernoulli transformations play the role of T). It gives a new solution of Gordin's question with respect to King's one[8]. We note also that *a mixing rank one transformation of a finite measure space has no homoclinic transformations $S \neq \text{Id}$.*

M. Gordin also asked the author on an example of a zero entropy transformation with a homoclinic ergodic flow. Now we give a short solution based on Poisson suspensions. Let \tilde{T} a mixing rank one transformation of infinite measure space (\mathbf{R}^+, μ) . We set $X = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^+$ and define $T : X \rightarrow X$ by the equality

$$T(x, y) = (x, \tilde{T}(y)).$$

It possesses a homoclinic flow. Indeed, let

$$S_t(x, y) = (x + \varphi(y)t, y),$$

where $\varphi > 0$ and $\varphi(y) \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$. The flow S is dissipative and homoclinic: for $f \in L_2(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^+, \mu \times \mu)$ we have

$$\|f - T^n S_t T^{-n} f\|_2 \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The latter is obvious for $f = \chi_{I_1 \times I_2}$ (I_1, I_2 are finite intervals), so it is true for all $f \in L_2$. The flow S_{*t} is Bernoulli flow with infinite entropy.

The Poisson suspensions T_* can be of zero entropy. For this we use \tilde{T} with $\exp(\tilde{T})$ of simple spectrum. The spectral type of T_* is singular, it coincides with the spectrum of $\exp(\tilde{T})$, but the spectrum of T_* has infinite multiplicity.

REMARK. In connection with the work [4] let us note that the Poisson suspensions could be a nice source of self-similar flows (with Lebesgue spectrum). Let \tilde{T}_t a flow of infinite measure space (Y, μ) . We set again $X = \mathbf{R} \times Y$ and define $T_t : X \rightarrow X$ by the equality

$$T_t(x, y) = (x, \tilde{T}_{e^x t}(y)).$$

Let us consider a flow G_a

$$G_a(x, y) = (x + a, y).$$

We get

$$G_a T_t G_{-a} = T_{e^{-a}t},$$

$$G_{*a} T_{*t} G_{*-a} = T_{*e^{-a}t}.$$

We get now that all S with $\mu \times \mu(\text{supp}(S)) < \infty$ are in $H(T_t)$. Thus our flows T_t and T_{*t} possess ergodic homoclinic groups. The author is indebted to A.I.Danilenko and E.Roy for arguments (based on [6]) showing that a large class of flows T_{*t} as above could be of zero entropy.

M. Gordin proved that a transformation with an ergodic homoclinic group have to be mixing. We note a bit more:

Assertion. *An action with an ergodic homoclinic group is mixing of all orders.*

Thus, Ledrappier's non-multiple-mixing actions (with Lebesgue spectrum) have no ergodic homoclinic groups.

The assertion follows from

Lemma. *Let T_i and T_j be sequences of elements of a measure-preserving action on a Probability space, let S satisfy*

$$(1) \quad T_i^{-1} S T_i \rightarrow Id, \quad T_j^{-1} S T_j \rightarrow Id.$$

If for a measure ν on $X \times X \times X$ and measurable sets A, B, C it holds

$$\mu(A \cap T_i B \cap T_j C) \rightarrow \nu(A \times B \times C),$$

then

$$\nu(SA \times B \times C) = \nu(A \times B \times C).$$

If in addition $\nu(X \times B \times C) = \mu(B)\mu(C)$ for all A, B and all S satisfied (1) generate together an ergodic action, then $\nu = \mu \times \mu \times \mu$.

Proof is obvious:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(A \times B \times C) &= \lim_{i,j} \mu(A \cap T_i B \cap T_j C) = \lim_{i,j} \mu(SA \cap S T_i B \cap S T_j C) = \\ &= \lim_{i,j} \mu(SA \cap T_i T_i^{-1} S T_i B \cap T_j T_j^{-1} S T_j C) = \nu(SA \times B \times C). \end{aligned}$$

If $\nu(X \times B \times C) = \mu(B)\mu(C)$ for all A, B , then ν is a joining of an ergodic action with the identity action on $X \times X$. Thus, ν have to be the direct product of the projections μ and $\mu \times \mu$.

2 Self-joinings of mixing rank one transformations

A *self-joining* (of order 2) is a $T \times T$ -invariant measure ν on $X \times X$ with marginals equal to μ :

$$\nu(A \times X) = \nu(X \times A) = \mu(A).$$

Off-diagonal measures Δ^k are defined by the equality

$$\Delta^k(A \times B) = \mu(T^k A \cap B).$$

We say that T has *minimal self-joinings (MSJ)* if all its ergodic self-joinings are off-diagonals Δ^k (a joining is called ergodic if it is not a convex sum of two different joinings).

THEOREM 1. *Mixing rank-one infinite transformations have MSJ.*

COROLLARY. *A mixing rank-one infinite transformation commutes only with its powers.*

REMARK. It follows from corollary and the results of [11] that the Poisson suspensions of the mixing rank one transformations constructed in [3] have trivial centralizer. What is proved here is a partial answer to the question of E. Roy as to whether the Weak Closure Theorem of J. King extends to infinite measure rank one transformations. Theorem 1 in the finite measure case was proved by J. King (see [7],[12]).

Proof of Corollary. Suppose that an automorphism S commutes with T . The joining $\Delta_S = (Id \times S)\Delta$ is ergodic: the system $(T \times T, X \times X, \Delta_S)$ is isomorphic to the ergodic system (T, X, μ) . Then for some i we get $(Id \times S)\Delta = (Id \times T^i)\Delta$, so $S = T^i$.

LEMMA. *Let ν be a joining of a rank one transformation T . Suppose that ν is disjoint from all Δ^k . There is a sequence a_j^k such that for all j we have $\sum_k a_j^k \leq 2$ and the inequality*

$$\nu(A \times B) \leq \limsup_j \sum_k a_j^k \Delta^k(A \times B)$$

holds for all finite measure sets A, B .

Proof of Lemma.

We define sets C_j^k and measures Δ_j^k :

$$C_j^k = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{h_j-k} T^i E_j \times T^{i+k} E_j, \quad k \in [0, h_j],$$

$$C_j^k = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{h_j+k} T^{i-k} E_j \times T^i E_j, \quad k \in [-1, -h_j],$$

$$\Delta_j^k(A \times B) = \Delta_j^k((A \times B) \cap C_j^k), \quad k \in [-h_j, h_j].$$

For $k \in [0, h_j]$ we denote by $N(k, A, B)$ the number of i -s r such that the corresponding blocks $T^i E_j \times T^{i+k} E_j$ are in $A \times B$. For $k \in [-1, -h_j]$ we consider the blocks $T^{i-k} E_j \times T^i E_j$. Thus, $N(k, A, B)$ is the number of blocks in $C_j^k \cap (A \times B)$.

Let us define for $k \in [0, h_j]$

$$a_j^k = \frac{\nu(E_j \times T^k E_j)}{\mu(E_j)}$$

and for $k \in [-h_j, -1]$

$$a_j^k = \frac{\nu(T^{-k} E_j \times E_j)}{\mu(E_j)}.$$

Using the invariance $\nu(T^{-k+m} E_j \times T^m E_j) = \nu(T^{-k} E_j \times E_j)$ we get

$$\nu(A \times B) = \mu(E_j) \sum_{|k| \leq h_j} a_j^k N(k, A, B) = \sum_{|k| \leq h_j} a_j^k \Delta_j^k(A \times B).$$

From this we obtain

$$\sum_{|k| \leq h_j} a_j^k \Delta_j^k \rightarrow \nu$$

since arbitrary measurable sets A', B' can be approximated by ξ_j -measurable ones. We get

$$\nu(A \times B) \leq \lim_j \sum_k a_j^k \Delta_j^k(A \times B) \leq \limsup_j \sum_k a_j^k \Delta_j^k(A \times B)$$

and

$$\sum_{|k| \leq h_j} a_j^k \leq 2.$$

The latter follows from marginal properties of a joining:

$$\mu(E_j) \sum_{k=0}^{h_j} a_j^k = \sum_{k=0}^{h_j} \nu(E_j \times T^k E_j) \leq \nu(E_j \times X) = \mu(E_j).$$

Lemma is proved.

To prove Theorem we apply Lemma and a consequence of the mixing: for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is M such that for all j

$$\sum_{|k|>M} a_j^k \Delta^k(A \times B) = \sum_{|k|>M} a_j^k \mu(T^k A \cap B) < 2\varepsilon.$$

If $\nu(A \times B) > 0$, then

$$\limsup_j \sum_k a_j^k \Delta^k(A \times B) > 0,$$

and we find k' such that $a_j^{k'}$ is not vanishing as $j \rightarrow \infty$, so

$$\nu \geq a \Delta^{k'}, \quad a > 0,$$

hence, $\nu = \Delta^{k'}$.

The author is thankful to M. Gordin and J.-P. Thouvenot for stimulating questions and discussions.

References

- [1] J. Aaronson, M. Nadkarni. L_∞ eigenvalues and L_2 spectra of non-singular transformations. Proc. London Math. Soc., **55**(1987) 538-570.
- [2] T.M. Adams. Smorodinsky's conjecture on rank one systems, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 739-744.
- [3] A.I. Danilenko, V. V. Ryzhikov. Mixing constructions with infinite invariant measure and spectral multiplicities. arXiv:0908.1643
- [4] Fraczek K., Lemanczyk M., On the self-similarity problem for ergodic flows, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), **99:3** (2009), 658-696

- [5] M.I.Gordin. A homoclinic version of the central limit theorem. *J. Math. Sci.* **68** (1994) 451-458.
- [6] E. Janvresse, T. Meyerovitch, E. Roy, T. de la Rue. Poisson suspensions and entropy for infinite transformations *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), 3069-3094.
- [7] J.L. King. Joining-rank and the structure of finite rank mixing transformations. *J. Analyse Math.* 51 (1988), 182-227.
- [8] J.L. King. On M. Gordins homoclinic question. *IMRN International Mathematics Research Notices* 1997, No. 5, 203-212.
- [9] D. Ornstein. On the root problem in ergodic theory. *Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability* (Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1970/1971), Vol. II: Probability theory, pp. 347-356. Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1972.
- [10] A.A.Prikhod'ko. On ergodic properties of iceberg transformations. I: Approximation and spectral multiplicity. *arXiv:1008.4301*
- [11] E. Roy. Poisson suspensions and infinite ergodic theory, *Erg. Th. Dyn. Sys.* 29 (2009), 667-683.
- [12] V.V. Ryzhikov. Mixing, rank, and minimal self-joinings of actions with an invariant measure. *Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math.* **75** (1993), No 2, 405-427.

E-mail: vryz@mail.ru